



Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from ... Okhawere, P. Y. O. (2026)

Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory and Self Determination Theory

OKHAWERE, Paulson Young Ofenimu

Email: gainsp@yahoo.com

Phone: +2349156215770

Federal University of Education, Kontagora, Niger State, Nigeria

Abstract

This study provides a comparative analysis of workplace productivity through the lens of three seminal motivation theories: Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, and Self-Determination Theory (SDT). Workplace productivity, defined as the efficient accomplishment of tasks and organizational goals, is closely linked to employee motivation—a dynamic influenced by both intrinsic and extrinsic factors. The research explores how each theory conceptualizes motivation and their implications for enhancing productivity. Maslow's model emphasizes a hierarchical progression of needs from basic physiological survival to self-actualization, suggesting that unmet lower-level needs hinder peak productivity. Herzberg distinguishes between hygiene factors (which prevent dissatisfaction) and motivators (which drive satisfaction), asserting that job content plays a critical role in employee engagement. SDT, meanwhile, prioritizes autonomy, competence, and relatedness as fundamental psychological needs essential for intrinsic motivation and sustained performance. The study contrasts these theories based on their assumptions, empirical validity, and practical relevance in organizational settings. It also examines their application in diverse work environments, including contemporary companies like Google, Ritz-Carlton, and Atlassian. Furthermore, the paper highlights the contextual challenges of implementing these theories in Nigerian workplaces, where economic instability and cultural collectivism often necessitate adaptation of traditional motivation frameworks. Ultimately, the study argues that while no single theory fully captures the complexities of employee motivation, an integrative approach—drawing from the strengths of each model—offers a more effective strategy for fostering productivity and organizational growth. The findings underscore the need for context-sensitive and psychologically grounded human resource practices.

Key Words: Workplace Productivity, motivationn, Maslow's, Herzberg's & Self-Determination Theory

Introduction

Workplace productivity and employee motivation are pivotal components in organizational success, particularly in an increasingly competitive and dynamic business environment. Productivity in the workplace refers to the efficiency with which tasks and goals are accomplished by employees to generate desired outcomes (Drucker, 1999). It is often measured by output per labour hour and influenced by various internal and external factors, including motivation. Motivation, on the other hand, refers to the intrinsic and extrinsic forces that stimulate individuals to take actions that lead to goal achievement (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Understanding the interplay between motivation and productivity is crucial because motivated employees tend to be more engaged, creative, and committed, all of which enhance organizational performance (Herzberg, 1968; Robbins & Judge, 2019). Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943), Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1968), and Vroom's Expectancy Theory (1964) have provided foundational frameworks for interpreting employee motivation in organizational settings. These theories suggest that employees are driven by both psychological and material needs, and addressing these needs can significantly enhance productivity. Despite its importance, many organizations face challenges in maintaining optimal productivity due to declining employee morale, inadequate incentives, poor leadership, and lack of alignment between individual and organizational goals (Armstrong & Taylor, 2020). This research



Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from ... Okhawere, P. U. O. (2026)

aims to explore the relationship between employee motivation and workplace productivity, identifying key motivational strategies that can enhance performance. The central research problem is the persistent decline in workplace productivity despite the implementation of various motivational policies. Thus, the objectives of this study are: (1) to examine the impact of motivation on workplace productivity, (2) to evaluate motivational strategies used in organizations, and (3) to recommend effective approaches for sustaining employee engagement and productivity.

Theoretical Foundations of Motivation in the Workplace

Theories of motivation in the workplace provide critical insights into why employees behave the way they do and how organizations can harness this understanding to improve performance. The classical theories of motivation, including Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943), Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959), and McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y (1960), laid the foundation for modern motivation practices by emphasizing psychological and behavioural factors that influence work behaviour. Maslow's theory posits that individuals are motivated to satisfy five levels of needs-physiological, safety, love/belonging, esteem, and self-actualization-sequentially (Maslow, 1943). In a workplace context, this implies that employers must ensure basic needs such as fair wages and job security before expecting peak performance and innovation from their workforce. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory distinguishes between hygiene factors (e.g., salary, job security, work conditions) and motivators (e.g., achievement, recognition, personal growth) (Herzberg, Mausner & Snyderman, 1959). While hygiene factors prevent dissatisfaction, they do not motivate; true motivation comes from the intrinsic aspects of the job, indicating that job enrichment strategies are critical for employee engagement. McGregor's Theory X and Theory Y (1960) presents two contrasting managerial assumptions: Theory X assumes employees are inherently lazy and require control, whereas Theory Y assumes employees are self-motivated and seek responsibility. McGregor's model challenges organizations to rethink managerial styles and foster an environment of trust and autonomy (McGregor, 1960). Contemporary theories such as Vroom's Expectancy Theory (1964) and Deci & Ryan's Self-Determination Theory (1985) further enhance motivational understanding. Vroom suggests that motivation is a function of expectancy (effort leads to performance), instrumentality (performance leads to reward), and valence (value of reward) (Vroom, 1964). Self-Determination Theory emphasizes autonomy, competence, and relatedness as key drivers of intrinsic motivation (Deci & Ryan, 1985). These theories collectively inform strategic human resource practices, promoting intrinsic motivation over extrinsic incentives, and emphasizing the role of job design, leadership, and organizational culture. Understanding these foundational theories enables a comparative analysis of their applicability across diverse organizational contexts and workforce demographics.

Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs and Its Relevance to Workplace Productivity

Abraham Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943) remains one of the most influential psychological theories for understanding human motivation, particularly within workplace contexts. The theory proposes that human needs are arranged in a five-tier model: physiological, safety, social, esteem, and self-actualization. According to Maslow, individuals must satisfy lower-level needs before progressing to higher levels of motivation and functioning. In the workplace, physiological needs, such as adequate salary, rest breaks, and comfortable working conditions, are foundational for employee productivity. Without these basic provisions, employees may be physically and mentally unfit to perform tasks effectively (Maslow, 1943). Safety needs, which include job security, safe work environments, and health benefits, are essential for reducing anxiety and enabling employees to focus on performance. Organizations that offer clear contracts, consistent policies, and a culture of



Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from ... Okhawere, P. U. O. (2026)

stability foster greater employee commitment and lower turnover (Robbins & Judge, 2019). At the social level, employees seek a sense of belonging through positive interpersonal relationships with colleagues and supervisors. Team-building initiatives, open communication, and inclusive workplace cultures significantly impact employee morale and cohesion (Armstrong & Taylor, 2020). Esteem needs involve recognition, respect, and achievement. Employers that provide meaningful feedback, promotion opportunities, and rewards systems enhance employees' self-worth, encouraging higher levels of output and innovation (Herzberg, 1968; Robbins & Judge, 2019). Finally, self-actualization, the highest level, pertains to the fulfillment of personal potential. In the workplace, this translates to opportunities for creativity, personal growth, and autonomy. Employees who reach this stage are often the most engaged and productive, as their work aligns with intrinsic interests and values (Deci & Ryan, 1985). Critically, Maslow's theory has been challenged for its rigid hierarchy and lack of empirical support. For instance, individuals may pursue higher needs without fully satisfying lower ones, particularly in collectivist cultures where social needs may outweigh individual goals (Hofstede, 1980). Nevertheless, the theory remains relevant for understanding motivation in stages and for designing holistic employee engagement strategies. Organizations that strategically align their HR policies with Maslow's framework are more likely to foster environments that support productivity, job satisfaction, and long-term organizational growth.

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory and Employee Performance

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, developed by Frederick Herzberg and colleagues in the late 1950s, is a seminal framework that distinguishes between factors causing job satisfaction (motivators) and those causing job dissatisfaction (hygiene factors) (Herzberg, Mausner & Snyderman, 1959). According to the theory, these two sets of factors operate independently and have different effects on employee performance and workplace productivity. Hygiene factors include aspects such as salary, company policies, supervision, working conditions, job security, and interpersonal relations. These factors do not directly motivate employees or lead to higher productivity, but their absence causes dissatisfaction and disengagement (Herzberg et al., 1959). For instance, poor supervision, inadequate pay, or unsafe working conditions can demotivate employees, resulting in absenteeism, low morale, and reduced output. However, even when these conditions are optimal, they merely prevent dissatisfaction but do not actively motivate employees (Robbins & Judge, 2019).

In contrast, motivator factors, which include achievement, recognition, the work itself, responsibility, advancement, and personal growth, are intrinsic to the job and lead to higher levels of motivation, commitment, and productivity when present. These factors satisfy employees' higher psychological needs and are critical for job enrichment strategies. When employees feel that their work is meaningful and that they are being recognized for their contributions, their performance tends to improve significantly (Armstrong & Taylor, 2020). As a matter of fact, Herzberg's theory challenged the traditional view that salary alone is a sufficient motivator. Instead, it introduced the idea that motivation is driven more by the content of the work than by external rewards. However, the theory has also faced criticism. For example, it assumes a universal response to motivators and hygiene factors, overlooking individual and cultural differences in motivation (Hofstede, 1980). Additionally, the methodology, based on critical incident interviews, has been questioned for subjectivity and potential bias (Pinder, 2014). Despite its limitations, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory remains a valuable tool for understanding employee motivation and designing effective workplace policies. Organizations that balance hygiene maintenance with intrinsic motivator enhancement are better positioned to boost employee engagement and performance, thus fostering a productive work environment.



Self-Determination Theory: Autonomy, Competence, and Relatedness at Work

Self-Determination Theory (SDT), developed by Deci and Ryan (1985), offers a comprehensive framework for understanding intrinsic motivation in the workplace. Unlike extrinsic motivators such as monetary rewards or punishments, SDT emphasizes the importance of internal drives and the fulfillment of basic psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—as essential conditions for optimal performance, engagement, and well-being at work. Autonomy refers to the feeling of volition and control over one's actions. Employees are more likely to be intrinsically motivated when they perceive that their decisions are self-endorsed rather than externally imposed (Deci & Ryan, 2000). In organizational settings, autonomy-supportive leadership, flexible schedules, and participative decision-making have been linked to higher job satisfaction, creativity, and productivity (Gagné & Deci, 2005). Competence involves the need to feel effective in one's role and capable of achieving desired outcomes. When employees are provided with challenging but attainable tasks, constructive feedback, and opportunities for skill development, they experience a sense of mastery, which enhances their intrinsic motivation and job performance (Van den Broeck et al., 2016). Conversely, lack of opportunities for growth or excessive control can stifle competence and lead to disengagement. Relatedness is the need to feel connected to others and experience a sense of belonging. A workplace culture that encourages collaboration, mutual respect, and supportive interpersonal relationships fulfils this need and contributes to emotional well-being and organizational commitment (Baard, Deci & Ryan, 2004). Critically, SDT contrasts with traditional motivation theories that rely heavily on extrinsic incentives. Research indicates that excessive use of external rewards can undermine intrinsic motivation, particularly when they are perceived as controlling (Deci, Koestner & Ryan, 1999). However, a balance between extrinsic and intrinsic motivators may be necessary in certain job contexts, particularly in routine or low-autonomy roles. SDT has been empirically validated across cultures and industries, reinforcing its relevance to contemporary human resource practices. By fostering autonomy, competence, and relatedness, organizations can cultivate a motivated workforce, enhance performance outcomes, and promote sustainable employee engagement.

Comparative Analysis of the Three Theories: Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, and Self-Determination Theory

Understanding workplace motivation is fundamental to enhancing employee performance and organizational effectiveness. Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943), Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959), and Self-Determination Theory (SDT) by Deci and Ryan (1985) offer distinctive yet complementary perspectives. A comparative analysis of these theories in terms of assumptions, applicability, and effectiveness provides deeper insight into their practical value and theoretical robustness.

Assumptions

Maslow's theory assumes a linear progression of needs from basic physiological requirements to self-actualization (Maslow, 1943). The model posits that once a lower need is satisfied, it ceases to motivate, and the individual moves to higher-level needs. In contrast, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory distinguishes between hygiene factors (extrinsic) that prevent dissatisfaction and motivators (intrinsic) that promote satisfaction and productivity (Herzberg, Mausner & Snyderman, 1959). This theory assumes that satisfaction and dissatisfaction are not on the same continuum. Self-Determination Theory (SDT), meanwhile, is grounded in humanistic psychology and assumes that



Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from ... Okhawere, P. U. O. (2026)

individuals are inherently driven by a need for autonomy, competence, and relatedness (Deci & Ryan, 1985). Unlike Maslow's fixed hierarchy or Herzberg's dichotomy, SDT assumes that all three psychological needs are equally vital and continuously influence motivation.

Applicability

Maslow's theory has broad appeal due to its simplicity and intuitive logic. It is often used in designing employee reward systems and job enrichment programmes. However, its hierarchical structure lacks empirical support and does not account for cultural or individual variability (Hofstede, 1980). Herzberg's model is more specific to the workplace and has informed practices such as job enrichment and redesign, making it practically applicable in organizational settings. SDT is widely applicable across diverse contexts, from corporate settings to educational and healthcare environments. Its emphasis on intrinsic motivation aligns well with contemporary organizational values like employee empowerment, well-being, and autonomy. Moreover, SDT's principles are supported by robust empirical studies and are adaptable across cultures (Gagné & Deci, 2005; Van den Broeck et al., 2016).

Effectiveness

In terms of effectiveness, Maslow's theory is more descriptive than prescriptive and lacks empirical precision. Herzberg's theory has had a significant influence on HR practices but has been criticized for methodological limitations, such as relying on critical incident techniques that may introduce bias (Pinder, 2014). Nonetheless, its distinction between hygiene and motivator factors remains useful in understanding dual aspects of job satisfaction. SDT is arguably the most empirically validated of the three. It provides a dynamic and integrative model of motivation, highlighting how supportive work environments foster sustained engagement and performance. It also accommodates both intrinsic and extrinsic motivations, offering a more nuanced understanding of human behaviour (Deci & Ryan, 2000). While each theory has its limitations, their integration offers a comprehensive view of workplace motivation. Maslow's model outlines the progressive nature of needs, Herzberg focuses on job content versus context, and SDT emphasizes the fulfillment of psychological needs. Organizations can draw from all three to design holistic motivational strategies that cater to diverse employee expectations and workplace dynamics.

Application of Motivation Theories in Organizational Settings

The practical application of motivation theories in organizational settings has proven essential in driving employee performance, enhancing job satisfaction, and fostering a productive workforce. Organizations globally have adapted theories such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, and Self-Determination Theory (SDT) to design policies and practices that enhance motivation and workplace outcomes. Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs has been widely applied in structuring employee reward systems. For example, Google addresses Maslow's needs by offering competitive salaries (physiological needs), job security (safety needs), a collaborative culture (belongingness), peer recognition (esteem), and opportunities for innovation (self-actualization) (Robbins & Judge, 2019). While the hierarchy may not always follow a strict progression, its framework helps managers identify where an employee may need support to enhance engagement. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory has been utilized to improve job design and satisfaction. The Ritz-Carlton Hotel Company, for instance, emphasizes both hygiene factors, such as quality working conditions and job security, and motivators, such as recognition, career development, and empowering employees to make decisions (Herzberg et al., 1959; Armstrong & Taylor, 2020). By separating the causes of dissatisfaction from satisfaction, management effectively enhances both retention and service excellence. Self-Determination Theory has been adopted in modern, autonomy-focused organizations. Atlassian, a software company, applies SDT by granting employees "20% time"



Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from ... Okhawere, P. U. O. (2026)

to work on passion projects, promoting autonomy, and hosting regular feedback and mentorship sessions to foster competence and relatedness (Deci & Ryan, 2000; Gagné & Deci, 2005). These practices have reportedly led to increased innovation and employee satisfaction. Critically, successful application of these theories requires contextual adaptation. For instance, while autonomy may motivate in creative sectors, structured environments may benefit more from fulfilling security and belonging needs. Thus, tailoring motivational strategies to specific organizational cultures and employee expectations is essential for effectiveness.

Implications for Nigerian Workplaces

Applying motivation theories in the Nigerian workplace context requires a nuanced understanding of the country's unique socio-cultural and economic environment. Factors such as high unemployment rates, income inequality, limited job security, and cultural collectivism significantly shape how motivational strategies are perceived and implemented. Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, for instance, has limited sequential applicability in Nigeria due to widespread economic instability. Many employees are preoccupied with satisfying lower-tier needs such as job security and basic remuneration (Eze, 2015). Hence, expecting self-actualization without addressing these foundational concerns is unrealistic. Employers must prioritize competitive wages, healthcare benefits, and safe working conditions to foster loyalty and productivity. Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory is particularly relevant in Nigeria's public and private sectors. While hygiene factors such as salary and working conditions are often insufficient or inconsistent, they remain critical in preventing job dissatisfaction. A study by Okpara (2004) on job satisfaction among Nigerian managers found that poor organizational policies and lack of recognition were significant sources of dissatisfaction. Incorporating motivators, such as advancement opportunities, recognition, and meaningful work, can help organizations boost intrinsic motivation and reduce employee turnover. Self-Determination Theory (SDT) also offers valuable insight, especially in empowering employees through autonomy and skill development. However, Nigeria's high power-distance culture (Hofstede, 1980) may limit the effectiveness of autonomy-driven strategies unless leadership styles evolve to be more participatory. Organizations such as Dangote Group have successfully promoted competence and relatedness by investing in employee training and promoting team-based culture (Adeleye et al., 2014). Thus, for motivation theories to yield desired results in Nigeria, they must be adapted to local realities. Emphasis should be placed on improving working conditions, aligning incentives with employee needs, and fostering inclusive workplace cultures.

Conclusion

This study has critically examined key motivation theories-Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory, and Self-Determination Theory-and their relevance to workplace productivity. Each theory offers unique insights into how intrinsic and extrinsic factors influence employee behaviour and performance. Their application in organizational settings, particularly within the Nigerian context, highlights the importance of aligning motivational strategies with socio-cultural and economic realities. Ultimately, organizations that prioritize employee needs, create enabling environments, and adapt motivation models to local contexts are more likely to experience enhanced productivity, job satisfaction, and workforce retention. A dynamic, context-sensitive approach to motivation remains crucial for sustainable organizational growth and development.

Recommendations

Improving workplace productivity requires a strategic application of motivation theories tailored to organizational and cultural contexts. Drawing from Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs, Herzberg's Two-



Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from ... Okhawere, P. U. O. (2026)

Factor Theory, and Self-Determination Theory, the following recommendations offer actionable steps to enhance employee performance and engagement:

1. Align Motivation Strategies with Employee Needs: Organizations should tailor motivational approaches to reflect the specific needs of their workforce. As Maslow (1943) emphasized, employees are only motivated to pursue higher-level goals when their basic needs are met. Nigerian firms should first ensure competitive compensation and job security to create a foundation for higher productivity.
2. Balance Hygiene and Motivator Factors: Following Herzberg's (1959) Two-Factor Theory, employers should address both extrinsic (e.g., salary, job conditions) and intrinsic (e.g., recognition, achievement) motivators. Offering career growth opportunities and recognizing achievements can reduce dissatisfaction and enhance engagement.
3. Foster Autonomy, Competence, and Relatedness: As proposed by Deci and Ryan (2000) in Self-Determination Theory, creating an environment where employees feel autonomous, competent, and connected promotes sustained motivation. Encouraging participatory decision-making and professional development can foster these psychological needs.
4. Contextualize Motivation for Nigerian Workplaces: Given Nigeria's socio-economic realities, motivational strategies must consider cultural values like collectivism and high-power distance (Hofstede, 1980). Organizations should promote teamwork, mentorship, and respect for hierarchical structures while gradually empowering employees through inclusive leadership.

References

- Adeleye, I., Adegbite, E., & Akinbinu, B. (2014). Human resource strategies in emerging economies: The Nigerian experience. *Journal of Developing Areas*, 48(3), 179–199.
- Armstrong, M., & Taylor, S. (2020). *Armstrong's handbook of human resource management practice* (15th ed.). Kogan Page.
- Baard, P. P., Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2004). Intrinsic need satisfaction: A motivational basis of performance and well-being in two work settings. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 34(10), 2045–2068.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.
- Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (1999). A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125(6), 627–668.
- Drucker, P. F. (1999). *Management challenges for the 21st century*. Harper Business.
- Eze, F. U. (2015). Motivation and job satisfaction: A study of the Nigerian banking sector. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(14), 23–30.
- Gagné, M., & Deci, E. L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behaviour*, 26(4), 331–362.
- Herzberg, F. (1968). *Work and the nature of man*. World Publishing Company.
- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. B. (1959). *The motivation to work*. Wiley.
- Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage Publications.
- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. *Psychological Review*, 50(4), 370–396.
- McGregor, D. (1960). *The human side of enterprise*. McGraw-Hill.
- Okpara, J. O. (2004). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment: Are there differences between American and Nigerian managers? *Academy of Business & Administrative Sciences*, 3(1), 1–17.
- Pinder, C. C. (2014). *Work motivation in organizational behavior* (2nd ed.). Psychology Press.
- Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2019). *Organizational behavior* (18th ed.). Pearson.



www.foefugusau.com.ng
des@fugusau.edu.ng

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026342>

Comparative Analysis of Workplace Productivity: An Insights from ... Okhawere, P. U. O. (2026)

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54–67.

Van den Broeck, A., Ferris, D. L., Chang, C. H., & Rosen, C. C. (2016). A review of self-determination theory's basic psychological needs at work. *Journal of Management*, 42(5), 1195–1229.

Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. Wiley.