



*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

## **Conceptual Analysis of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour as a Driver of Workplace Productivity in Nigeria**

**OKHAWERE, Paulson Young Ofenimu**

[gainsp@yahoo.com](mailto:gainsp@yahoo.com)

+2349156215770

Federal University of Education, P.M.B. 39, Kontagora, Niger State, Nigeria

### **Abstract**

*Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) is a crucial yet often underrecognized contributor to workplace productivity. This conceptual study examines how voluntary employee behaviours, such as altruism, conscientiousness, courtesy, sportsmanship, and civic virtue, enhance individual performance, team cohesion, and overall organizational effectiveness. Grounded in Social Exchange Theory and Organizational Support Theory, the paper explains how OCB emerges from personal attributes, leadership styles, organizational culture, and social dynamics. The study distinguishes between OCB directed toward individuals (OCB-I) and the organization (OCB-O), illustrating how each supports innovation, cooperation, efficiency, and positive work climates. While the benefits of OCB are substantial, the study also acknowledges its potential drawbacks, including burnout, role ambiguity, and the exploitation of highly committed employees. Through critical analysis, the study identifies ethical leadership, supportive HRM practices, clear job boundaries, and healthy work environments as essential mechanisms for cultivating OCB sustainably. Based on these insights, the study recommends strengthening ethical and transformational leadership, integrating OCB-supportive practices into recruitment and employee development, and promoting psychological safety to prevent overload. It also emphasizes the importance of context, urging organizations to adopt culturally sensitive approaches that respect the voluntary nature of OCB. Given its conceptual nature, the study calls for future empirical research, particularly mixed-method and longitudinal investigations, to quantify OCB's effects across sectors and cultural settings. Overall, the study concludes that when managed ethically, OCB serves as a strategic, human-centred catalyst for organizational development and long-term productivity.*

**Keywords:** Organization, Citizenship Behaviour & Workplace Productivity

### **Introduction**

Enhancing workplace productivity through organizational citizenship behaviour involves fostering voluntary, positive employee actions that go beyond formal job requirements to support organizational effectiveness and a collaborative work environment. Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) refers to discretionary employee behaviours that are not directly recognized by formal reward systems but collectively contribute to the effective functioning of an organization (Organ, 1988). These behaviours include actions such as helping colleagues, being punctual, volunteering for extra work, and promoting a positive work environment. Over time, OCB has evolved as a critical aspect of organizational effectiveness, especially in knowledge-based and service-driven economies (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, & Podsakoff, 2018).

Workplace productivity, on the other hand, denotes the efficiency with which organizational resources, particularly human capital, are utilized to achieve goals and deliver outcomes (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2020). It encompasses both the quantity and quality of output relative to inputs, influenced by individual performance, teamwork, and organizational systems. The interplay between OCB and workplace productivity has attracted growing scholarly and practical attention. With increasing competition and the shift towards more collaborative, flexible work cultures, organizations are relying more on employees' willingness to go beyond formal job requirements (Chughtai, Byrne, & Flood, 2021). This discretionary effort can serve



*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

as a catalyst for improved morale, enhanced cooperation, and ultimately, increased productivity. However, despite the recognized value of OCB, many organizations struggle to understand how to cultivate and sustain it without formal mechanisms or incentives. The central problem addressed in this study is the limited practical understanding of how OCB can be systematically promoted to enhance productivity, particularly in diverse organizational contexts. The primary objective is to critically explore the relationship between OCB and workplace productivity, identify key drivers and barriers, and recommend effective strategies for leveraging OCB for organizational performance improvement. This paper is structured into eight interconnected sections: the introduction, theoretical frameworks, types of OCB, determinants of OCB, the impact on productivity, strategies to promote OCB, challenges and limitations, and a concluding synthesis with recommendations.

### **Theoretical Framework and Models of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour**

Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) is grounded in several theoretical frameworks that explain why employees engage in behaviours that go beyond formal job requirements. Two of the most influential theories in this area are Social Exchange Theory (SET) and Organizational Support Theory (OST). Social Exchange Theory, as proposed by Blau (1964), posits that human relationships are formed through a process of cost-benefit analysis and reciprocal exchanges. In the workplace context, when employees perceive that they are treated fairly and supported by their employers, they are more likely to reciprocate with positive behaviours such as OCB. This reciprocity is not contractual but discretionary, making OCB a natural response to perceived organizational benevolence (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005).

Organizational Support Theory (Eisenberger et al., 1986) builds on SET by focusing on employees' perception of how much the organization values their contributions and cares about their well-being, termed Perceived Organizational Support (POS). High POS has been positively correlated with increased OCB because employees feel emotionally obligated to contribute to organizational success (Rhoades & Eisenberger, 2002). Thus, both theories highlight the importance of trust, fairness, and support in fostering OCB. Organ (1988), the pioneer of OCB conceptualization, identified five core dimensions of OCB: altruism, conscientiousness, sportsmanship, courtesy, and civic virtue. Altruism involves helping colleagues with tasks or problems, while conscientiousness refers to exceeding minimum role requirements responsibly. Sportsmanship reflects maintaining a positive attitude even in adversity. Courtesy is about preventing work-related conflicts through consideration, and civic virtue refers to actively participating in organizational governance and staying informed. These dimensions reflect the multifaceted nature of OCB, encompassing both interpersonal (OCB-I) and organizational (OCB-O) directed behaviours (Williams & Anderson, 1991). The integration of SET and OST into these dimensions provides a strong theoretical basis for understanding how workplace behaviours emerge and evolve. For instance, employees who feel respected and supported (OST) are more likely to demonstrate courtesy and altruism. Likewise, those engaged in social exchanges marked by fairness and mutual respect (SET) may exhibit conscientiousness and civic virtue as expressions of loyalty and commitment. In summary, the theoretical frameworks of OCB not only explain its emergence but also guide organizational strategies aimed at enhancing employee commitment and productivity. Recognizing the psychological underpinnings of these behaviours enables managers to foster environments that encourage sustainable, voluntary, and productivity-enhancing actions.

### **Components and Types of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour**

Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) encompasses a range of discretionary behaviours that support the social and psychological environment of the workplace but are not formally recognized



*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

by reward systems. Organ (1988) initially categorized OCB into five dimensions: altruism, conscientiousness, sportsmanship, courtesy, and civic virtue. These dimensions provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the different ways employees contribute beyond their prescribed roles. Altruism refers to voluntary behaviours aimed at helping others with work-related problems, such as assisting a colleague with a heavy workload or offering guidance to a new employee. Conscientiousness reflects an employee's diligence and commitment to exceeding basic job expectations, often seen in consistent punctuality, adherence to organizational rules, and sustained effort without supervision. Sportsmanship involves the ability to tolerate inconveniences and setbacks without complaining, thereby maintaining group morale. Courtesy pertains to proactive actions that prevent work-related conflicts, such as informing co-workers before making decisions that affect them. Civic virtue signifies responsible participation in the life of the organization, including attending meetings, staying informed about company policies, and engaging in constructive dialogue about organizational improvement (Organ, 1997).

These behaviours are typically divided into two main categories: OCB-Individual (OCB-I) and OCB-Organization (OCB-O). OCB-I includes altruism and courtesy, which are directed towards specific individuals within the organization. These behaviours enhance interpersonal relationships and promote cooperation among employees. In contrast, OCB-O includes conscientiousness, sportsmanship, and civic virtue, which are directed toward the organization as a whole. These behaviours support organizational functioning by reinforcing norms, systems, and a positive organizational image (Williams & Anderson, 1991). In practice, these behaviours can manifest in various organizational settings. For instance, in a healthcare environment, a nurse who volunteers to take on additional shifts to help understaffed colleagues exhibits altruism, while a software engineer who attends optional planning meetings to contribute to organizational strategy demonstrates civic virtue. These actions, though not mandated, contribute significantly to team cohesion, service quality, and overall productivity. Recognizing and encouraging OCB in organizational contexts is essential because such behaviours often fill gaps left by formal structures, especially in dynamic and team-based work environments. Managers who understand and nurture both OCB-I and OCB-O can build resilient and high-performing organizations.

### **Determinants of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour**

Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) is shaped by a complex interplay of personal, organizational, and social factors that influence whether and how employees choose to go beyond their formal job descriptions. Understanding these determinants is critical for managers and organizations aiming to foster environments that enhance workplace productivity through OCB. Personal factors such as job satisfaction, personality traits, and organizational commitment significantly influence OCB. Job satisfaction, for instance, is consistently linked to higher levels of OCB, as satisfied employees are more likely to engage in voluntary actions that benefit their co-workers and the organization (Organ & Ryan, 1995). Personality traits, particularly those related to the Big Five model-agreeableness, conscientiousness, and extraversion-have been positively associated with OCB (Podsakoff et al., 2000). Employees with these traits are naturally inclined to help others and perform beyond expectations. Moreover, affective commitment, which reflects an emotional attachment to the organization, has been found to predict OCB more strongly than normative or continuance commitment, as emotionally invested employees are more likely to engage in discretionary behaviours (Meyer et al., 2002). Organizational factors also play a crucial role. Leadership style, especially transformational leadership, has been identified as a major driver of OCB. Transformational leaders inspire, motivate, and support their subordinates, encouraging them



*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

to go beyond role requirements (Podsakoff et al., 1996). Organizational culture that promotes trust, mutual respect, and open communication similarly fosters OCB by creating an environment where employees feel psychologically safe to engage in extra-role behaviour. Additionally, perceptions of organizational justice, such as distributive, procedural, and interactional fairness, strongly influence OCB. When employees believe they are treated fairly, they reciprocate through loyalty and helpfulness (Colquitt et al., 2001). Social factors, particularly team cohesion and peer relationships, further determine the likelihood of OCB. Strong interpersonal relationships and a sense of belonging within teams encourage cooperative behaviours. When peers support and recognize each other, individuals are more inclined to act in the group's interest, even at a personal cost (Chiaburu & Harrison, 2008). Positive peer norms and informal social rewards also reinforce OCB as desirable behaviour within workgroups. Altogether, these determinants underscore the multifactorial nature of OCB. Managers seeking to cultivate such behaviour must take a holistic approach, aligning individual, organizational, and social factors to create an enabling environment where OCB can thrive and, in turn, enhance productivity and organizational effectiveness.

### **Impact of OCB on Workplace Productivity**

Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) plays a vital role in enhancing workplace productivity by positively influencing individual, team, and organizational performance. Although OCB is not formally recognized in job descriptions, it fosters an environment of collaboration, efficiency, and adaptability-elements that are crucial for sustainable productivity. At the individual level, employees who exhibit OCB tend to support their colleagues, engage proactively with their work, and exhibit a higher level of task ownership. These behaviours improve job performance by reducing workload bottlenecks, increasing task interdependence efficiency, and encouraging knowledge sharing (Podsakoff et al., 2009). For example, altruistic acts such as mentoring or aiding co-workers not only accelerate task completion but also elevate the collective skill level of the team. Civic virtue enhances strategic alignment as employees who stay informed and involved in organizational matters often contribute constructively to decision-making processes. Team performance is also enhanced by OCB through improved cooperation, conflict resolution, and morale. When team members exhibit courtesy and sportsmanship, interpersonal tensions are minimized, thereby fostering psychological safety and open communication (Somech & Drach-Zahavy, 2004). This creates an atmosphere where ideas can be freely exchanged, leading to innovation and creative problem-solving. Team cohesion, nurtured by mutual respect and voluntary support, further facilitates synchronized workflow and shared responsibility for outcomes.

OCB also has a direct impact on broader organizational outcomes such as operational efficiency, adaptability, and innovation. For instance, conscientious employees help maintain consistent standards without the need for constant supervision, reducing management overhead and promoting self-regulation (Turnipseed & Rassuli, 2005). Moreover, organizations with high levels of OCB experience enhanced morale and reduced turnover, as employees perceive a positive work climate and greater organizational justice. This leads to a more stable and engaged workforce, which is essential for long-term productivity. Empirical studies substantiate the link between OCB and productivity. Podsakoff et al. (2009), in a meta-analytic review, found that OCB is significantly correlated with performance ratings, profitability, efficiency, and customer satisfaction. Similarly, a study by Walz and Niehoff (2000) in a service organization revealed that departments with higher levels of OCB had significantly better customer evaluations and financial outcomes. In healthcare settings, OCB has been linked to reduced errors and improved patient satisfaction, demonstrating its cross-sector relevance (Mackenzie et al., 2011). OCB acts as an informal mechanism that fills



*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

structural gaps in organizations by encouraging voluntary behaviours that promote efficiency, innovation, and cooperative culture. Cultivating OCB is not just a moral or cultural imperative, it is a strategic tool that directly contributes to workplace productivity and competitive advantage.

### **Strategies for Promoting Organizational Citizenship Behaviour**

Promoting Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) requires deliberate and strategic organizational efforts, particularly through leadership engagement, supportive human resource (HR) practices, and an environment that reinforces pro-social behaviour. Since OCB is discretionary and not formally rewarded, encouraging its development demands a nuanced approach that aligns employee motivation with organizational goals. Leadership plays a central role in fostering OCB. Transformational leaders, who inspire and motivate employees through vision and individualized consideration, are especially effective in promoting OCB. These leaders cultivate trust, model altruistic behaviour, and instil a sense of collective purpose, thereby encouraging employees to transcend formal role expectations (Podsakoff et al., 2000). Ethical leadership is also pivotal; leaders who demonstrate fairness, integrity, and concern for others promote a culture where employees feel safe and valued, increasing their willingness to engage in voluntary, cooperative behaviours (Brown & Treviño, 2006).

HR practices that align with employee needs and values significantly enhance OCB. Recruitment and selection processes can prioritize candidates with high levels of agreeableness and conscientiousness—traits associated with OCB (Organ & Ryan, 1995). Additionally, creating a high-commitment work system, where employees perceive organizational support through job security, training, and participative decision-making, fosters a reciprocal relationship that naturally encourages citizenship behaviour (Paillé et al., 2010). Although OCB is not formally rewarded, indirect incentivization can be achieved through recognition and intrinsic motivators. Public praise, peer acknowledgment, and inclusion in decision-making processes validate employees' contributions, reinforcing positive behaviour without undermining its voluntary nature. According to Bolino and Turnley (2003), non-monetary recognition such as employee of the month awards or internal communication shout-outs can strengthen perceptions of fairness and appreciation, leading to sustained OCB. Training and feedback are additional mechanisms for promoting OCB. Workshops and seminars focused on team collaboration, emotional intelligence, and conflict resolution build behavioural competencies aligned with OCB dimensions like courtesy and sportsmanship (Rioux & Penner, 2001). Furthermore, regular feedback and coaching, especially from supervisors, can shape employees' awareness of their impact on team dynamics, guiding them toward more constructive discretionary behaviours. Ultimately, promoting OCB requires a multifaceted strategy that goes beyond compliance and taps into employees' intrinsic motivation. By fostering a supportive culture, modelling desired behaviours, and reinforcing contributions informally, organizations can create a thriving environment where OCB is both valued and widespread.

### **Challenges and Limitations in Promoting OCB for Productivity Gains**

While Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) is widely acknowledged for enhancing workplace productivity, promoting it is not without challenges. Several limitations may hinder its effectiveness, especially when organizations fail to balance encouragement with ethical responsibility and contextual awareness. One major challenge is the risk of exploitation and employee burnout. Because OCB consists of discretionary behaviours not formally recognized or compensated, some employees may feel compelled to consistently go beyond their formal roles to gain favour or maintain job security. This can lead to emotional exhaustion, work-life imbalance, and long-term disengagement (Vigoda-Gadot, 2006). For instance, Bolino et al. (2010) found that employees high



*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

in citizenship behaviours often experience role overload, especially in high-pressure environments where such behaviours are expected rather than voluntary. This undermines well-being and can paradoxically reduce overall productivity. Cultural and contextual limitations also constrain the universal application of OCB. In collectivist cultures, for example, OCB may be perceived as a group norm, and thus not as an individual choice, diminishing its motivational value (Farh et al., 2007). In contrast, in individualistic cultures, such behaviours may be more voluntary and thus more meaningful. Additionally, industries or work environments that prioritize rigid hierarchies or highly standardized processes may find it difficult to accommodate the fluidity that OCB requires. Another important challenge is balancing formal role performance with extra-role behaviours. Employees who over-prioritize OCB may neglect core job responsibilities, especially when OCB is not strategically aligned with organizational goals. As noted by Bergeron (2007), while helping behaviours can foster social capital, they can also distract from key performance indicators if not properly managed. Managers must therefore ensure that OCB supplements, rather than supplants, formal role execution. To overcome these challenges, organizations must foster a culture of psychological safety, provide clear expectations, and avoid pressuring employees into constant extra-role performance. Ethical leadership, supportive HR systems, and awareness of contextual dynamics are essential for maximizing OCB's benefits without compromising employee well-being or organizational integrity.

### **Recommendations**

To translate the benefits of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) into measurable productivity gains, organizations must move beyond theory into practical, evidence-based strategies. The following recommendations aim to guide managers, policy-makers, and researchers in fostering, managing, and further investigating OCB within diverse workplace contexts:

#### **I. Strengthen Ethical and Transformational Leadership**

Leadership that is ethical and transformational fosters trust, models citizenship behaviour, and encourages voluntary employee contributions. Leaders should be trained to exhibit fairness, openness, and inspirational motivation to organically encourage OCB (Brown & Treviño, 2006; Podsakoff et al., 2000).

#### **II. Integrate OCB into HRM Practices**

Recruitment processes should assess for citizenship-oriented traits like conscientiousness and agreeableness. HR should reinforce OCB through informal recognition, inclusive culture, and employee development programmes without formalizing or overburdening it (Organ & Ryan, 1995; Bolino & Turnley, 2003).

#### **III. Promote a Healthy Work Environment**

To avoid burnout and role overload, organizations must establish clear job boundaries, ensure psychological safety, and support work-life balance. Voluntary behaviours should not substitute for core job roles or be implicitly required (Vigoda-Gadot, 2006).

#### **IV. Encourage Empirical and Contextual Research**

Since this study is theoretical, future empirical research should explore how different forms of OCB impact productivity across sectors and cultures. Mixed-method and longitudinal studies can provide a deeper understanding of the mechanisms, outcomes, and limitations of OCB in diverse settings (Farh et al., 2007).

### **Conclusion**

This study critically examined the role of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) in enhancing workplace productivity. It established that when employees voluntarily engage in extra-role behaviours, such as altruism, conscientiousness, and civic virtue, they contribute significantly to



*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

organizational efficiency, innovation, and morale. The discourse highlighted key theories underpinning OCB, identified its dimensions and determinants, and analyzed its positive and negative impacts on productivity. Although challenges like burnout and contextual limitations exist, strategic HR practices and ethical leadership can mitigate these risks. By promoting a supportive work environment and encouraging voluntary commitment, organizations can unlock the full potential of OCB as a sustainable driver of performance and long-term productivity.

## References

- Bergeron, D. M. (2007). The potential paradox of organizational citizenship behavior: Good citizens at what cost? *Academy of Management Review*, 32(4), 1078–1095.
- Blau, P. M. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. Wiley.
- Bolino, M. C., & Turnley, W. H. (2003). Going the extra mile: Cultivating and managing employee citizenship behaviour. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 17(3), 60–71.
- Bolino, M. C., Turnley, W. H., Gilstrap, J. B., & Suazo, M. M. (2010). Citizenship under pressure: What's a "good soldier" to do? *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 31(6), 835–855.
- Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2006). Ethical leadership: A review and future directions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 17(6), 595–616.
- Chiaburu, D. S., & Harrison, D. A. (2008). Do peers make the place? Conceptual synthesis and meta-analysis of coworker effects on perceptions, attitudes, OCB, and performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(5), 1082–1103.
- Chughtai, A. A., Byrne, M., & Flood, B. (2021). Linking ethical leadership to employee creativity: Knowledge sharing and self-efficacy as mediators. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 174(1), 243–256.
- Colquitt, J. A., Conlon, D. E., Wesson, M. J., Porter, C. O., & Ng, K. Y. (2001). Justice at the millennium: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of organizational justice research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(3), 425–445.
- Cropanzano, R., & Mitchell, M. S. (2005). Social exchange theory: An interdisciplinary review. *Journal of Management*, 31(6), 874–900.
- Eisenberger, R., Huntington, R., Hutchison, S., & Sowa, D. (1986). Perceived organizational support. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 500–507.
- Farh, J. L., Zhong, C. B., & Organ, D. W. (2007). Organizational citizenship behavior in the People's Republic of China. *Organization Science*, 15(2), 241–253.
- Mackenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2011). Challenge-oriented organizational citizenship behaviours and organizational effectiveness: Do challenge-oriented behaviours really have an impact on the organization's bottom line? *Personnel Psychology*, 64(3), 559–592.
- Meyer, J. P., Stanley, D. J., Herscovitch, L., & Topolnytsky, L. (2002). Affective, continuance, and normative commitment to the organization: A meta-analysis of antecedents, correlates, and consequences. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 61(1), 20–52.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2020). *Productivity and human capital*. Retrieved May 5, 2025 from <https://www.oecd.org>
- Organ, D. W. (1988). *Organizational citizenship behaviour: The good soldier syndrome*. Lexington Books.
- Organ, D. W. (1997). Organizational citizenship behavior: It's construct clean-up time. *Human Performance*, 10(2), 85–97.
- Organ, D. W., & Ryan, K. (1995). A meta-analytic review of attitudinal and dispositional predictors of organizational citizenship behavior. *Personnel Psychology*, 48(4), 775–802.
- Paillé, P., Grima, F., & Dufour, M. É. (2010). Contribution to social exchange in public organizations: Examining how support, trust, satisfaction, and commitment predict OCB. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(13), 2320–2340.



[www.foefugusau.com.ng](http://www.foefugusau.com.ng)  
[des@fugusau.edu.ng](mailto:des@fugusau.edu.ng)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2025185>

*Conceptual Analysis of Organizational ... Okhawere, P. O. (2025)*

- Podsakoff, N. P., Whiting, S. W., Podsakoff, P. M., & Blume, B. D. (2009). Individual- and organizational-level consequences of organizational citizenship behaviors: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 94*(1), 122–141.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Bommer, W. H. (2000). Transformational leader behaviors and substitutes for leadership as determinants of employee satisfaction, commitment, trust, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *Journal of Management, 22*(2), 259–298.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2018). The role of OCB in the link between job satisfaction and productivity: A review and research agenda. *Human Resource Management Review, 28*(1), 1–12.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Moorman, R. H., & Fetter, R. (1990). Transformational leader behaviours and their effects on followers' trust in leader, satisfaction, and organizational citizenship behaviors. *The Leadership Quarterly, 1*(2), 107–142.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Paine, J. B., & Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management, 26*(3), 513–563.
- Rhoades, L., & Eisenberger, R. (2002). Perceived organizational support: A review of the literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 87*(4), 698–714.
- Rioux, S. M., & Penner, L. A. (2001). The causes of organizational citizenship behaviour: A motivational analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 86*(6), 1306–1314.
- Somech, A., & Drach-Zahavy, A. (2004). Exploring organizational citizenship behaviour from an organizational perspective: The relationship between organizational learning and organizational citizenship behaviour. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 77*(3), 281–298.
- Turnipseed, D. L., & Rassuli, A. (2005). Performance perceptions of organizational citizenship behaviours at work: A bi-level study among managers and employees. *British Journal of Management, 16*(3), 231–244.
- Vigoda-Gadot, E. (2006). Compulsory citizenship behaviour: Theorizing some dark sides of the good soldier syndrome in organizations. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour, 36*(1), 77–93.
- Walz, S. M., & Niehoff, B. P. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviours: Their relationship to organizational effectiveness. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research, 24*(3), 301–319.
- Williams, L. J., & Anderson, S. E. (1991). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as predictors of organizational citizenship and in-role behaviours. *Journal of Management, 17*(3), 601–617.