Zamfara International Journal of Education (ZIJE)
The Official Journal of the Faculty of Education
Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria
Volume 6, Number 6, October, 2026
pISSN: (Hard Copy): 2814 – 1377
eISSN (Online): 2814 – 1369

www.foefugusau.com.ng
Reviewed Date: 14-10-2026
Publication Date: 31-10-2026
DOI: **https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026654**

From Origins to Practice: Learner Achievement Monitoring by the United Kingdom Department for Education and its Implications for Child Development

AVULE, Igbawase[1]
avuleigba@gmail.com,
08132032467
KUMAGA, Tavershima Joseph[1]
kumagataddy94@gmail.com
0802204068
[1]Department of Mathematics Education, Rev. Fr. Moses Orshio Adasu University, Makurdi.

Abstract
This paper conceptually reviews the origins and evolution of learner achievement monitoring (LAM) within the united kingdom Department for Education (DfE) and examines its implications for child development. It traces the development of educational monitoring from curriculum attainment and national assessments towards a broader system incorporating progress measures, inspection, early-years assessment, equity-related indicators, and data-informed educational decision making. The review highlights the value of assessment evidence for identifying learning needs, monitoring progress, informing instructional interventions, and supporting school improvement. Drawing on developmental psychology theory, the paper argues that learner achievement should be interpreted within the broader context of cognitive, social, emotional, and developmental outcomes. Based on these insights, the paper proposes a Development-Linked Monitoring Model. Comprising assessment for development, progress over scores, data for support, and equity focus. The model provides a conceptual basis for connecting educational measurement with learner development, targeted support, and educational equity. The paper concludes that effective monitoring should generate actionable evidence for improving learning and development rather than functioning primarily as a tool for ranking performance.

Keywords: Department for Education, Learner Achievement Monitoring, Child Development, Performance Measurement, Assessment Policy, UK, Education

Introduction
Education is an evolving art that is continually being reshaped by changes in society, knowledge, and technology guided by innovation and research. As a result, education has undergone a paradigm shift from the traditional practices towards the systematic use of research evidence, assessment information and learner-performance data to inform educational decisions (United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization [UNESCO], 2021). Effective educational practices therefore, require teachers and institutions to continually rethink pedagogical approaches, assessment practices, and learning experiences (Ayua & Kwagh, 2026). Within this global development, LAM has become an important component of educational improvement. It involves the systematic collection, interpretation and use of information about learners' knowledge, skills, progress, and achievement over time to track learner progress and guide learning instructional decisions (Rezky et al., 2024). LAM can provide continuous feedback for teachers, schools, policymakers, and other stakeholders. Evidence obtained through formative assessment, classroom-based assessment, national assessments, and other forms of educational measurement constitute LAM and can therefore be used to identify learning gaps and inform instructional and policy decisions. International organizations have contributed significantly to this movement by emphasizing learning outcomes, educational quality, equity, and the use of reliable data in educational planning. Consequently, contemporary education systems increasingly view assessment and monitoring not merely as mechanisms for judging learners but as tools for understanding and improving educational processes

Overview of the United Kingdom's (UK) Department for Education's Role in Assessment and Accountability
The UK Department for Education (DfE) is responsible for making national education policies. One of such policy is the is learner achievement monitoring. The achievement progress of learners is monitored at different stages of education through national assessments and examinations which provide information that can be used to understand educational

Zamfara International Journal of Education (ZIJE)
The Official Journal of the Faculty of Education
Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria
Volume 6, Number 6, October, 2026
pISSN: (Hard Copy): 2814 – 1377
eISSN (Online): 2814 – 1369
www.foefugusau.com.ng
Reviewed Date: 14-10-2026
Publication Date: 31-10-2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026654

outcomes, support accountability and enhance evaluation of educational systems. The DfE's approach illustrates how learner-performance information can support learning at different levels. Thus learner monitoring can become part of a wider system that informs school and national educational decision-making.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the transformation of education in the 21st century, achievement monitoring practices are still summative and do not measure the learners' holistic development (Arsyad-Arrafii, 2023; Yusuf & Nurul, 2025). while assessment scores provide valuable information about particular aspects of achievement, it does not constitute a holistic measure of learners development commonly referred to as Blooms taxonomy of educational objectives which includes the: cognitive, affective and psychomotor developmental outcomes. Accordingly, Mursalin et al. (2025) proposed innovative assessment and learner achievement monitoring practices that are designed to track students' progressive development, and which are aligned with their learning trajectories. This problem makes it necessary to examine learner achievement monitoring conceptually.

Conceptual And Theoretical Frameworks

This section reviews the following concepts

Concept of Learner Achievement Monitoring and Performance Measurement: LAM is a comprehensive analysis of student achievement that includes not only student performance but the quality of teaching, teachers' performance, conditions of the educational environment and models of educational process management (Kovalchuk & Kozoriz, 2020). It goes beyond making decisions using a single examination result to examining patterns of learning over time and identifying areas of strength and difficulty . Performance measurement is closely related but focuses on determining the extent to which predetermined educational objectives have been achieved.

Theoretical Bases

The framework is informed by the following theory

Developmental psychology: provides a basis for understanding learners as they develop cognitively. Piaget theory of cognitive development focuses on the cognitive, social and emotional growth of children from infancy through adolescence and adulthood (Piaget, 1952). A learner is first an individual before he or she becomes a learner. From this perspective, learner achievement monitoring should consider not only what learners can reproduce in tests but also their developmental needs, social relationships, motivation, self-regulation, and capacity to apply knowledge. LAM should be holistic. That is to say it should be focused on the total and complete development of the learners' cognitive, affective and psychomotor abilities.

Link Between Assessment Data and Child Development: Assessment data can provide important evidence about learner development. Assessment should provide a road-map to track learners' development. The cognitive domain can be examined through evidence lower-order and higher-order mental skills such as knowledge, understanding, reasoning and problem-solving. The affective domain includes indicators such as interests, motivation, attitudes, curiosity, integrity, humility and confidence among several others (Ayua, 2018a; Broman & Parchmann, 2020). When assessed comprehensively, these abilities can constitute a report on learner development

Principles of Effective Monitoring Systems in Education

An effective learner-monitoring system should be guided the principles such as Validity, reliability, Inclusivity, developmental appropriateness, continuity, multiple sources of evidence, data-informed improvement, transparency and accountability, ethical data use, and child-centredness (Allehaiby & Al-Bahlani, 2021; Ayua et al., 2024).

Historical Origin And Evolution Of The Dfe's Monitoring Framework

The development of learner achievement monitoring in the UK has evolved from a previous emphasis on curriculum attainment toward a broader system which incorporates assessment, progress, inspection, early learning, and selected developmental indicators (Department for Education [DfE], 2025). A major foundation was the Education Reform Act of 1988, which established the National Curriculum and created a stronger basis for nationally comparable assessment. Over time, Key Stage assessments, school performance tables, progress measures, Ofsted inspections, phonics screening, and the Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) Profile were incorporated into the wider monitoring system. This evolution

Zamfara International Journal of Education (ZIJE)
The Official Journal of the Faculty of Education
Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria
Volume 6, Number 6, October, 2026
pISSN: (Hard Copy): 2814 – 1377
eISSN (Online): 2814 – 1369
www.foefugusau.com.ng
Reviewed Date: 14-10-2026
Publication Date: 31-10-2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026654

reflects an increasing attempt to obtain evidence not only about pupils' expected standards, but also about their overall progress and development.

From the 1988 Education Reform Act to National Curriculum Assessments

The Education Reform Act of 1988 was a major turning point in English education because it established a statutory National Curriculum for maintained schools. The reform introduced nationally specified programmes of study and attainment targets, providing a common framework against which pupil learning achievement could be assessed. The development of the National Curriculum assessment followed this reform. National Curriculum tests were introduced progressively, with assessments at the end of Key Stage 1 beginning in 1990 and Key Stage 2 national tests following in 1994 (DfE, 2023). The significance of this development was that learner achievement could be examined using common expectations rather than relying entirely on individual schools' assessment practices. This strengthened the relationship between curriculum standards, assessment evidence, and educational accountability.

Development of Key Stage Assessments and Progress Measures

The monitoring framework subsequently developed beyond the measurement of attainment at particular points in schooling. Key Stage assessments provided information about pupils' performance against expected standards while progress measures sought to establish how much progress pupils made from their previous levels of attainment (DfE, 2025). At secondary level, the introduction of Progress 8 and Attainment 8 represented another significant development. Attainment 8 summarizes pupils' achievement across a specified set of subjects, whereas Progress 8 measures pupils' progress in comparison with pupils nationally who had similar prior attainment (Lackie & Goldstein, 2017). Thus, the historical development of assessment in England demonstrates the use of evidence to interpret learner achievement.

Role of the Office for Standards in Education (Ofsted) and School Performance Tables in Monitoring

Ofsted provides an inspection framework through which the quality of education and other regulated services can be evaluated (Ofsted, 2025). School performance data provide another form of accountability by making information about educational outcomes publicly available. Ofsted inspection and performance data therefore provide different forms of evidence. Performance measures are primarily quantitative, providing indicators of pupil outcomes, while inspection provides broader qualitative evidence concerning educational provision, leadership, teaching, behaviour, and other aspects of school quality.

Shift Toward Holistic Measures:

Although Standard Assessment Tests (SATs) remain important components of assessment system in the UK, learner monitoring increasingly incorporates measures that address different stages and aspects of leaner development (Leckie & Goldstein, 2017).

DfE's Approach to Linking Assessment with Child Development

The United Kingdom's Department for Education (DfE) has developed a monitoring approach that establishes a relationship between assessment outcomes and learners' educational progress and broader development (DfE, 2026). Rather than relying on examination results, the framework incorporates early-years assessments, attainment and progress measures, disadvantage-related indicators, and the use of school-level data to identify pupils who may require additional support.

Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) and Tracking Child Development from Age 5

The Early Years Foundation Stage (EYFS) provides the foundation for monitoring learners development before and around the beginning of compulsory schooling. The EYFS framework covers learners from birth to age five and identifies seven areas of learning and development: communication and language, physical development, personal, social and emotional development, literacy, mathematics, understanding the world, and expressive arts and design (DfE., 2026). Assessment at the end of the reception year provides information about children's attainment against the Early Learning Goals (ELGs).

Use of Progress 8 and Attainment 8 to Measure Growth, Not Just Achievement

At secondary level, the DfE uses Attainment 8 and Progress 8 to provide different report on pupils' educational outcomes. Attainment 8 summarizes pupils' performance across a defined set of qualifications at the end of Key Stage 4, whereas

Zamfara International Journal of Education (ZIJE)
The Official Journal of the Faculty of Education
Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria
Volume 6, Number 6, October, 2026
pISSN: (Hard Copy): 2814 – 1377
eISSN (Online): 2814 – 1369
www.foefugusau.com.ng
Reviewed Date: 14-10-2026
Publication Date: 31-10-2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026654

Progress 8 is intended to indicate the progress pupils make between their previous attainment at the end of primary education and their outcomes at the end of secondary education (Leckie & Goldstein, 2017).

Data Use

Assessment and examination data can be analyzed at the pupil, school, local and national levels. Schools can use information about attainment, progress, attendance, disadvantage and other indicators to identify patterns of underachievement and determine where additional support may be necessary (Leckie & Goldstein, 2017; Prior et al., 2021). At school level, monitoring data can help in early identification of pupils who are falling behind, providing targeted intervention, curriculum planning and evaluation of teaching strategies.

Implications for Performance Measurement and Monitoring in Education

The development of learner-performance monitoring systems has influenced how education systems measure and improve educational quality. The experience of the United Kingdom Department for Education (DfE) demonstrates a movement from simple measurement of examination attainment towards a broader system in which assessment information is used to monitor learner progress, identify educational inequalities and support school improvement (DfE, 2025).

Strengths:

One of the major strengths of systematic educational monitoring is its capacity to provide progressive information about learner development rather than considering achievement as a single event at the end of a school stage. Another strength is increased transparency. Public reporting of educational outcomes provides information to parents, schools, policymakers, researchers, and other stakeholders about patterns of educational performance. The monitoring of progress also creates opportunities for early identification of learners who may require additional support. When assessment data reveal difficulties in particular subjects or groups of learners, schools can investigate possible causes and introduce appropriate interventions.

Criticisms:

One frequently identified concern is teaching to the test. This is when teachers devote instructional time to the content and skills that are likely to be assessed (Muth & Luftenegger, 2025). This reduces opportunities for exploratory learning, creativity, practical activities, scientific inquiry, and other educational experiences that are difficult to capture through standardized tests (Suleiman et al., 2022). Another concern is curriculum narrowing. This occurs when schools allocate more instructional time to particular subjects or outcomes which receive greater accountability attention at the expense of subjects and activities that are less directly represented in performance indicators (Balarabe, 2020; Pinga et al., 2025).

Lessons for Other Countries:

Developing countries can draw the following lessons. Firstly, accountability and child development should not be treated as mutually exclusive (Suleiman et al., 2022; Balarabe, 2020). Secondly countries developing monitoring systems should integrate standardized achievement measures with classroom assessment, progress indicators, attendance, participation, inclusion, and other relevant information (Ayoko, 2026). Finally, monitoring systems should be designed around the principle that achievement data should improve learning rather than merely describe or rank performance.

Proposed Conceptual Framework: "Development-Linked Monitoring" (DLM) Model

The DLM model consists of four interconnected principles: Assessment for Development, Progress Over Scores, Data for Support, and Equity Focus.

Assessment for Development: This principle proposes that educational monitoring should recognize the multidimensional nature of child development. Cognitive monitoring may include learners' knowledge, understanding, application, reasoning-ability, problem-solving, critical thinking skills (Ayua et al., 2022; Jomen & Ayua, 2026) and subject-specific competencies. Social and emotional development known as the affective domain may involve integrity, honesty, humility, communication, collaboration, self-regulation, motivation, interpersonal relationships, confidence, and other appropriate indicators of affective domain (Ayua, 2018b).

Progress Over Scores: The DLM model therefore gives considerable attention to individual growth. Progress measures should help estimate the contribution of educational experiences to learner outcomes while taking prior attainment into account (Mursalin et al., 2025).

Zamfara International Journal of Education (ZIJE)
The Official Journal of the Faculty of Education
Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria
Volume 6, Number 6, October, 2026
pISSN: (Hard Copy): 2814 – 1377
eISSN (Online): 2814 – 1369
www.foefugusau.com.ng
Reviewed Date: 14-10-2026
Publication Date: 31-10-2026
DOI: **https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026654**

Data for Support: The third principle is Data for Support. Assessment information should be treated primarily as a resource for educational decision-making. Data collected through assessment should help teachers identify misconceptions, learning gaps, strengths, and areas requiring intervention. This implies that achievement monitoring data should be diagnostic.

Equity Focus: The fourth principle is Equity Focus. Equity is inclusivity driven (Ayua et al., 2026; Terhemba & Ayua, 2026). The DLM model proposes a systematic monitoring that accounts for differences in socioeconomic status, disabilities, gender, prior attainment, geographic location, or other categories.

Implications For Policy and Practice

The Development-Linked Monitoring model has the following implications:

1. **For Policymakers:** Policymakers should design assessment and monitoring systems that promote accountability while also supporting teaching and learning. This requires careful selection of indicators and avoidance of excessive dependence on a single measure of achievement.
2. **For Schools:** School assessment information should be integrated into instructional planning and learner-support systems. Teachers and school leaders can use assessment evidence to identify learners who are struggling, determine areas of strength, and organize appropriate interventions (Elliot et al., 2020).
3. **For Teacher Training:** Teacher education and professional development should therefore include competencies in formative assessment, data interpretation, developmental assessment, feedback, differentiation, and ethical use of learner data.

Conclusion

The evolution of learner achievement monitoring in the UK Department for Education demonstrates the increasing importance of evidence in educational decision-making. Assessment and performance data can provide valuable information about attainment, progress, inequalities, and areas requiring intervention. The proposed Development-Linked Monitoring (DLM) Model connects assessment with broader child development. Its four principles: Assessment for Development, Progress Over Scores, Data for Support, and Equity Focus, provide a conceptual basis for balancing accountability with learner-centred educational improvement.

References

Allehaiby, W.H., & al-Bahlani, S. (2021). applying assessment principles during emergency remote teaching: Challenges and considerations. *Arab World English Journal,* 12(4), 3-18. https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol12no4.1

Arsyad-Arrafii, M. (2023). Assessment reform in Indonesia: Conceptual barriers and opportunities for implementation. *Taylor & Francis,* 43(1), 79-94. https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2021.1898931

Ayoko, V.O. (2026). Reforming the Nigerian school curriculum for contemporary needs and assessment of implementations for basic, junior secondary and senior secondary education. *International Journal of Education Research and Scientific Development,* 9(2), 1-19.

Ayua, G. A. (2018b). *Science education and values for societal renewal in Nigeria*. Paper presented at Primary and Tertiary Teacher Education Association of Nigeria (PATTEN) 15th Annual National Conference on Education and National Values: Trends and Imperatives, held at Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto State, Nigeria.

Ayua, G.A. (2018a). scientific attitudes and values for societal renewal; critical examination of Gardner's position and its implication on Nigeria and its education. *Umaru Musa Yaradua University Journal of Education,* 8(1), 314-319.

Ayua, G.A., & Kwagh, T.C. (2026). Fostering Honesty and Integrity in the age of Gen-AI: Rethinking ethical scientific attitudes in science education research. *Journal of Studies in Science and Mathematics Education,* 6(1), 362-369. https://doi.org/10.67203/jossme.2026.f7ovll68

Ayua, G.A., Angura, M.T., Atume, S.T., Agenor, T., & Anande, J.T. (2024). fostering creative thinking in upper basic science students using ethnoscience teaching strategy in Makurdi, Benue state. *Benue Journal of Research in Science and Science Education,* 1(1), 1-8.

Ayua, G.A., Ikernum, G.S., & Terhemba, W.K. (2022). effect of teacher-learmer improvised material on critical thinking among varied ability upper basic science students in Makurdi Metropolis. *AJSTM,* 8(2), 189-195.

Ayua, G.A., Ojoko, A.N., Terhemba,W.K., Emma-Iwuozo, O.U., Okanche, S., & Obiabo, I.O. (2026). leveraging creativity in science education: inclusive special education's pathway to self-sufficiency among basic school learners in Nigeria. *Zamfara International Journal of Education,* 6(4), 302-309. https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026490

Zamfara International Journal of Education (ZIJE)
The Official Journal of the Faculty of Education
Federal University Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria
Volume 6, Number 6, October, 2026
pISSN: (Hard Copy): 2814 – 1377
eISSN (Online): 2814 – 1369

www.foefugusau.com.ng
Reviewed Date: 14-10-2026
Publication Date: 31-10-2026
DOI: **https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026654**

Balarabe, M. (2020). assessment generated constraints to instruction and learning in the Nigerian school system: Implications for life-long learning and productivity. *Sokoto Educational Review,* 8(1). https://doi.org/10.35386/ser.v8i1.415

Broman, K., & Parchmann, I. (2020). Relevant or Interesting according to upper secondary students? Affective aspects of context-based chemistry problems. *Research in Science & Technological Education.* https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2020.1824177

Department for Education. (2023). Key Stage 1 and phonics screening check attainment: Methodology. Gov.uk

Department for Education. (2025). Curriculum and assessment review. Government response. Gov.uk

Department for Education. (2025). *Reception baseline assessment framework.* Gov.Uk

Department for Education. (2026). *Early years foundation stage profile handbook.* Gov.Uk.

Elliiot, V., Randhawa, A., Ingram, J., Nelson-Addy, L., Griffin, C., Baird, J.A. (2020). *Feedback in action: A review or practice in English Schools.* Education Endowment Foundation.

Iqbal, S., Willis, I., Almigbal, T.H., Aldahmash, A., & Rastam, S. (2020). Outcome-based education: evaluation, implementation and faculty development. *MedEdPublish,* 9, 121.

Jomen, E.O., & Ayua, G.A. (2026). effect of AI-personalised learning strategy on critical thinking of integrated science undergraduates in Makurdi: the role of gender. *BSU Journal of Science, Mathematics and Computer Education,* 6(1), 114-124.

Leckie, G., & Goldstein, H. (2017). the evolution of school league tables in England 1992-2016: Contextual value-added, expected progress and progress 8. *British Educational Research Journal,* 43(2), 193-212. https://doi.org/10/1002/berj.3264

Muth, J., & luttenegger, M. (2025). Teaching to the test: Unraveling the consequences for student motivation. *Learning and Individual Differences,* 121, 103-114.

Mursalin, E., Rochman, C., Rahmawan, S., Mufida, A.A., Iihami, A., Ayua, G.A., Ramadhini, F., & Mileniati, N. (2025). Authentic Assessment Based on Teaching and learning trajectory: A conceptual model for sustainable assessment in natural science learning. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Education,* 9(1), 58-70. https://doi.org/10.31002/ijose.v9il.3058

Ofsted. (2025). Education inspection framework 2025. Gov.Uk.

Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in Children.* International University Press.

Pinga, M., Jor, J., & Olatunde, O.H. (2025). Addressing examination malpractice in Nigerian secondary schools: challenges, effects and strategic solutions. *Journal of Educational Review,* 15(1), 33-44.

Prior, L., Jerrim, J., Thomas, D., & Leckie, G. (2021). a review and evaluation of secondary school accountability in England: Statistical strengths, weaknesses and challenges for progress 8 raised by COVID-19. *Review of Education,* 9(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3299

Rezky, K.D.A., Sabarun, S., & Qamariah, Z. (2024). Monitoring activities on students abilities and obstacles during English learning process. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Nasional,* 2(1), 29-34. https://doi.org/10.59435/jipnas.v2i1.136

Suleiman, B., Ogundeji, O.M., & Bello, A. (2022). Curriculum teaching versus teaching-to-the-test: Towards an appropriate classroom instructional practice in Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Research on Children, Parents and Teacher,* 3(1), 440-455.

Terhemba, W.K., & Ayua, G.A. (2026). qualitative study on digital classrooms, equal futures: Artificial intelligence-powered digital pedagogy for closing the gender divide in STEM. *Zamfara International Journal of Education,* 6(5), 321-333. https://doi.org/10.64348/zije.2026552

UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. UNESCO

Yusuf, H., & Nurul, A. (2025). rethinking assessment and evaluation; Towards a holistic approach to measuring student success. *Journal.Amorfati.id.* https://www.journal.amorfati.id/index.php/postaxial/article/view/416